(Dcl. Rev. 12/98)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT PH 1: 25 FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DEMETRICE Smith	
(Name of Plaintiff or Plaintiffs)	08-507
Simms Associates	CIVIL ACTION No.
(Name of Defendant or Defendants)	
COMPLAINT U	NDER TITLE VII
OF THE CIVIL RIC	GHTS ACT OF 1964
1. This action is brought pursuant to <i>Tit</i>	le VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, for
employment discrimination. Jurisdiction exists by virtue	of 42 U.S.C. \$2000e-5. Equitable and other relief are also
sought under 42 U.S.C. <i>\$</i> 2000e-5(g).	
2. Plaintiff resides at	DANFARD DR.
EIKTON MD	2,921
EIKTON MO (City) (County) (State) 410-398-0364 (Area Code) (Phone Number)	(Zip Code)
3. Defendant resides at, or its business is lo Newark WC DE (City) (County) (State)	ocated at 800 Pencader DR. (Street Address)
Newark N/C DE	19702
(County) (State)	(Exp code)
4. The discriminatory conduct occurred in	connection with plaintiff's employment at, or application to
be employed at, defendant's (Defendant's Name)	Associates place of bussiness
located at SUU Pen CA del	DR_
(Street Address)	E 19702
(City) (County) (Stat	

5.	The alleged discriminatory acts occurred on _	9	. 08	2007
		(Day)	(Month)	(Year)
6.	The alleged discriminatory practice	O is not	continuing.	
7	Disintiff filed about on with the Department of	I ahay af tha Ct	ata of Dalaurana	
DEPT OF L	Plaintiff filed charges with the Department of ABOR 4425 N. MArl-	Labor of the St	lmins ton	J
(Agend	Street Address) (Street Address) (City) (State) (Zip Code) (Day)	102		racondina
(Count	(State) (Zip Code)	06		, regarding
defendant's all	leged discriminatory conduct on (Day)	,	, <u>Zvo 7</u> (Year)	·
8.	Plaintiff filed charges with the Equal Employs	nent opportuni って	ty Commission of	f the United States
regarding defe	ndant's alleged discriminatory conduct on:	Day)	(Month)	(Year)
9.	The Equal Employment Opportunity Commiss			f-Right-to-Sue letter
which was rece	eived by plaintiff on: $\frac{17}{(Day)}$, $\frac{5}{(Mo)}$	nth) , (Year)	
<u>(NOTE:</u>	ATTACH NOTICE-OF-RIGHT-TO-S	<u>UE LETTE</u> I	<u>R TO THIS CO</u>	<u>OMPLAINT.)</u>
10.	The alleged discriminatory acts, in this suit, co	ncern:		
•	A. • Failure to employ plaintiff.	nootii.		
	B. Termination of plaintiff's employm	ent.		
	C. • Failure to promote plaintiff.			
	D. Other acts (please specify below)			
	1.			
1) WRO	OFFICE TERMINATION	/		
12 /100n	SSMENT			
7 HARA	3311/2101			

11.

- Defendant's conduct is discriminatory with respect to the following:
- A. Plaintiff's race
- B. Plaintiff's color
- C. Plaintiff's sex
- D. O Plaintiff's religion
- E. Plaintiff's national origin
- 12. A copy of the charges filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission is attached to this complaint and is submitted as a brief statement of the facts of plaintiff's claim.
- 13. If relief is not granted, plaintiffs will be irreparably denied rights secured by Title VII of the 1964 CivilRights Act, as amended.
 - 14. Plaintiff's has no adequate remedy at law to redress the wrongs described above.

THEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows: (Check appropriate letter(s))

- A. That all fees, cost or security attendant to this litigation be hereby waived.
- B. That the Court appoint legal counsel.
- That the Court grant such relief as may be appropriate, including injunctive orders, damages, cost and attorney's fees.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

ntad:

(Signature of additional Plaintiff)

(Del Rev.12/98)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DEMETRICE Smith
(Name of Plaintiff or Plaintiffs)
Simm Associates
(Name of Defendant or Defendants)
COMPLAINT
1. This action is brought pursuant to
(Federal statute on which action is based)
for discrimination related to RACE HARRASSMEN jurisdiction exists by virtue of (In what area did discrimination occur? e.g. race, sex, religion)
(In what area did discrimination occur: e.g. face, sex, feligion)
(Federal statute on which jurisdiction is based)
2. Plaintiff resides at /// DANFORD DR. EIKTON Cec. (Street Address) 21921 (City) (County) (State) (Zip Code) 410-398-0364
(Street Address)
ElkTON Cect MD 21921
(City) (County) (State) (Zip Code)
(Area Code) (Phone Number)
(Mode Code) (Filone Hamoer)
3. Defendant resides at, or its business is located at 800 Pencader Drive
Newark New Castle DE 19702 (City) (County) (State) (Zip Code)
(City) (County) (State) (Zip Code)
4. The alleged discriminatory acts occurred on 8, 9, 2007. (Day) (Month) (Year)
5. The alleged discriminatory practice is us not continuing.

6.	Plaintif	f(s) filed charge	es with t	he Dept.	OF	LABE	,R	
4		•		(Agency) W. Im. n (City)		DE	· 1	9802
		(Street Address)		(City) (County)	(State)	(Zip)	21012
regard	ling defen	dant(s) alleged	discrimi	natory conduct on:		(Data)	. }	5 9 200 1
.7.	Attach (lecision of the	arenew v	which investigated	the charge	(Date)	noragra	nh 6 above
							No E	ph 0 400 vo.
8.				gency's decision?	1 68	; Ш	140 🗀	
	•	o whom was the			(D	1 - C - 4	. 1 1'4'	-1 -1 :6
9,		criminatory act	s alleged	in this suit concer	n: (Descr	ibe facts on	addition	iai sneets if
necess	sary) \mathcal{L}	N 8/9	1200	Fonde	work	er m	ADE	- A
Roc	_	_	•	P THreas				
14	in I	Crryspic 3	17/0	VIMICAS	nce	<u> </u>	770 40	<i>01</i> /. C
ncio	ten	the nex	TI	HAD to	ונות כ	es to	An	ther
dep	ar t me	ent or	Be	FreD, A	nu (oui D	15	90
over	to	that do	p.ar	tment	enn	though	I	HAD
Bus	iness	ACCOUN	T.	over in t	he si	ame a	epsi	toners.
ter	Filing	Compla	ハブ	was HA	VLASS	ad s	ever	of times
	1:1	GOT FI	rd	Sept. 4,	2007	PADO	TL /	Facts.
10.		Defend	dant's co	nduct is discrimina	atory with	respect to th	e follow	ing:
		A.		Plaintiff's race				
		B.		Plaintiff's color				
		C.		Plaintiff's sex				
		D.		Plaintiff's religio	n			
		E.		Plaintiff's nationa	al origin			

K) C	Reinl	DU/SY	mento	2	Year	Splan	, +
							morey	
							Fees	
		Cour			•			

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Lintad:

(Cianabura of Plaintiff)

5/17/07

Summary Reports of Harassments and Several Incidents of Firing Attempts

The manager said I was 5 minutes late from my break. I told her I was not late from break I did not want to get in and argument with the manager, I told her could I make up the time for 5 minutes and she said no that was an occurrence. I told her the company policy said employee could make up the time in the same day; I was willing to work late stay after 5 minutes or come back from lunch earlier. She said up to the manger, and I know my previous employees she did not give other employees hard time about making up time. I believe because I spoke up the manger (Kim) gave me a hard time. She would follow me to the outside to make sure what time I was due back, she would make she I was sitting at my desk doing my work, I could not ask question until end of the day had to write them down and give to her, I would have to stay on the dialer and I could not follow my money. But other employees she did not give hard time with. The manager and me had several meeting she said I was doing my thing and there would be some write-ups if I continue. I told her I had collection experience before and do not need her to be on my back all the time. After, two weeks of these harassments I had enough. I was going to quite but I ask and employee I knew what to do name Eric Fox to ask the owner to moved me so he did I was moved to target on 5/29/2007. I had a good two weeks with no problems with the new manager. I work the whole month of June with no problems I thought.

6/25/20007 On June 29th I was been sent with my general manager (Chuck Kim) to the human resource department. I was told I was going to be terminated from work. I told her why I was being terminated because I never received

anything in writing or never had any complaints with my department manager (Chris Higgins) of my job performance and beside I have the general manager in the office with me did he knew my job performance it was not meeting my numbers. I told her was 6000 dollars and 1500 dollars away from my standard so that was bull!! Wendy look at the report and check the standing with other employees and the numbers, she then said you have 3 occurrence too many in 90 days probation I told her I do not have that many occurrence and why did the manager bought it to my attention if I did and she need to check that again. She said you received a write up for the two days in May and on 6/6/2007 you received a write up for that date I told her what!!! You talking about I gave you note I was in jail. she knowledge the note. Then she said Chris your manger wrote you up because you did not work your schedule I told her I work the whole shift, she said you was schedule to work a split shift. The human resource manager moved me to the conference room and talked to the other manager. She called me back explain to me more in detailed. The human resource manager said there was a computer error in the system you have 2 occurrence not 3. And the write up for 6/6/2007 stand. I told her I do not agree with the write up and why was I not written up that day 6/6/2007 not today 6/25/2007 and my performance for review she have be discussed before I came to the human resource department not today. After this day the manager gave me hard time about my job performance and find every means of me not to hit my number. The manager (Chris) would not let me follow my money, gave me several verbal of not following rules do your own things, and the same thing I was told not to do other employees were doing the same thing nothing was said about them, he tried several times make me quite. The manager would talking down to me like I was stupid

or would not ask my questions said I was asking too many questions and get back on the dialer and work.

b/29/2007

On the same day I was called back to the Human Resource office again but this time I was being written up with sexual harassment another employee Jamie Phillips and I was supposed to keep it in the office and don't mention nothing about the incident I told them I do not know what you talking about and I am very professional with people on the job and know how to conduct myself at work. Wendy hr manager said Jamie did not want to get you in trouble. And I did not know what she talking about or do I know whom Jamie Phillips is. I left the office and I found out whom Jamie Phillips is and did not speak to her for about 2 weeks until she came to me. And I said I was not supposed to speak to her because of the harassment charge. She told me she did not excuse me any such thing and the complaint must came for the general manager Chuck Kim and Misty Wright because the general manager called her into the office and tried several times to get her to tell him that Demetrice Smith sexual harassed her and she said he did not harassed me and I do not want to discussed the issue anymore. She said it got very bad in the office and made her mad because the general manager wanted her to report it to the human resource. I told the general manager I would not report that because it was not true. She told me for some odd reason that this company did not like me.

8/09/2007

on the 29th I got into and argument with another employee. I was talking to Alice Clifton, Kathleen Scott, Fred Frazier and William Taylor about cigarettes smoke how dangerous that can be and usually if parents smoke then children sometime follow and another employee named Misty Wright got right into the discussion and said her parents smoke and get high and you do not know what u talking about, I in turn said you should not say that too loud. She cursed me out and said, "my dad is a pagan and he would come to the job and found you and blow your fucking head off. I told her I do not care about her dad if he was part of the Klan she got louder, kept repeating what her dad was I call him right now if you messed with me I told her this is going too far and I was not talking to her. She got louder and the general manager came out of the office and talk to her and she repeated the same thing again. "I would get my dad to blow his fucking head off!!" The general manager told us to go home and come back on Aug 10th to see what can be done. I left came back to work again on August 10th I was moved from target with several verbal writes did not know anything out these writes up I ask the manager why I was being moved. The manager Wendy said because "she was more valuable than me." I told her what she means. She said she was more valuable and you are going to another team FAMMS manager Mike Ouellete. I told her why I was going to be moved she threaded me so what you going to me moved, I told her if that was other way round I would not have a job. She kept saying that is our decision.

I have found out among other employee Misty have not meet standard for 3 months and nothing was done, standard in target is 7500.00 I came on the floor with no previous money and almost hit the stand 7000.00 and when I left the target my numbers were just 400.00 off first week stats in August.

After I started my new team I was banned from target unless job related issue only because I had target accounts in my que.

Over the several weeks on FAAM I had to changer my schedule from working 4 splits shits. To 2 splits shifts all together told mike manager that I need my split shits. And that would not stop me from hitting my numbers, he said so if you complaining go to Brad owner about the situation. I tried to talk to Mike but he just brushed me off and treated me with no respect tried to write me up for no reason of being late. I proved to him my time and the decision was reversed. He harassed me several times about working my accounts and not at my desk. And this has gone on several times. But I ignore what he was trying to do.

9/01/2007

I came on Saturday and work 2 hours and collected 800.00 and mike the manager was supposed to put and account in my que. He gave me a hard time and told me to get on the dialer and he was not moving any accounts put it on the clipboard. I told him the check was going to post today and need the account in my que. He said he would get it another time get back on the dialer or I will write you up. Usually if checks going to post same day the account would have to post in your que. The manger has not given other employees this hard time about accounts. I do not understand why this manager is giving me a hard time with this account and talk to me any kind of way with no respect.

1/04/2007

I came back into the office at work earlier than usual 2pm I check the account again and it was not in my que the account went to the company instead I told him about it got mad because I was question him and you need to get on the dialer and stop complain about accounts. I told him I need to closed some accounts and would take me sometimes he said I

going to write you up and you are not to work target accounts in your que. I told him I had those accounts and you are not going to remove those accounts from me. we had argument. About not moving the accounts, and I told him why you give me a hard time when you moved accounts to other employees que he said not this time. I waited later on 7pm when I getting ready to leave he was gone and the account was not moved, I went over to brad office the general manager he was not there and then I went over to the manager office Chuck Kim and he was on the phone. The manger got very mad at me and wanted to see me in the office. I told him I would come back tomorrow because I was leaving he insisted I waited for him finished talking on the phone for another 7 minutes I told him I would see him tomorrow he got mad hung up the phone and told me to go in the office he tried to explain to him what I wanted he did not want to her that shut up, started to curse me out. I told him was not your kid and I am leaving he said no. He calls the general manager over to the office Brad and said he told Brad he was not supposed to be over here. I told him I have a target account and you are making a big deal. And I did not see Brad and would not have came over here if he was here, Chuck kept yelling at me and said I don't care. I told Brad this manger is disrespecting me and I would not tolerate that. Brad kept saying he was not supposed to be over her, I told him is business so what. I said why is your company giving me a hard time if it was I threaded and employee at SIMMs I would not be here talking to you. Chuck and Brad told me to go home and come back tomorrow to see what can be done. I told Brad I just wanted and account in my que before it goes to the house. He said come back tomorrow.

I was under great distressed I call to work and said I was going to be late. Brad(owner) told me to talk to Wendy first HR manager and I was not able to get hold of Wendy and around 3pm I called and talk to Brad the and was told I was terminated for in subornation at work. I told him what I was just wanted account in my que he said you fired and that is that talk to Wendy on Thursday.

I feel this company have lots of issue with employees and if you speak up for yourself then that is trouble. The company does not care what they do all the manger and hr manger said this is at will state, we can fired anytime. My experience at Simms Associates had been a bad one, and the company has different rules for different people and for BLACKS rules are different and continue harassment experience with this company had been a bad one. I hope no other employee would have to go thought this harassment like I did.

Au g- 11-08	11:03am	From-EEOC STATE & LOCAL PROGRAMS		T-974	P.002/002 F-201
ممر EEOC Form 161 سر	(2/08)	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT O	PPORTUNI	TY COMMISSION	
		DISMISSAL AND NO	OTICE OF	RIGHTS	
111 0	etrice Smith Danford Dr. n, MD 2192		From:	Philadelphia District O 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 1910	
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	y is		
EEOC Charg	ge No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
		Charles Brown, III,			
17C-2007-		State & Local Coordinate			(215) 440-2842
THE EEO		ING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR TI			
	The facts	alleged in the charge fail to state a claim u	nder any of	the statutes enforced by	the EEOC.
	Your alleg	gations did not involve a disability as define	ed by the A	mericans With Disabilitie	s Act.
	The Respo	ondent employs less than the required num	nber of emp	loyees or is not otherwis	e covered by the statutes.
		rge was not timely filed with EEOC; in oth ation to file your charge	er words, y	ou waited too long after	the date(s) of the alleged
	the Inform	issues the following determination: Base nation obtained establishes violations of the e with the statutes. No finding is made as this charge.	the statutes	5. This does not certify	that the respondent is in
X	The EEOC charge.	has adopted the findings of the state or	local fair e	mployment practices age	ency that investigated this
	Other (brid	efly state)	•		
		- NOTICE OF SI (See the additional Informati			
notice of di under fede	ismissal al ral law bas his notice;	ns with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age nd of your right to sue that we will sen sed on this charge in federal or state or or your right to sue based on this cha fferent.)	nd you. You court. You	ou may file a lawsuit ag Ir lawsuit must be filed	g <mark>ainst the respondent(s</mark>) WIT <u>HIN 90 DAYS</u> of you
the alleged	EPA unde	EPA suits must be filed in federal or s rpayment. This means that backpay due not be collectible.	tate court le for any v	within 2 years (3 years olations that occurred m	for willful violations) of ore than 2 years (3 years)
		Marie (5-	of the Con	nmission	<i>l</i> lay 12, 2008

Enclosures(5)

Marie M. Tomasso, District Director

(Date Mailed)

CC: SIMM ASSOCIATES

> Erica N. Finnegan, Counsel CROSS & SIMON, LLC 913 N. Market St., 11th Fl. PO Box 1380 Wilmington, DE 19899

TNO-620-24 For September

DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL AFFAIRS

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Personnel Manager SIMM ASSOCIATES 800 Pencader Drive, Newark, DE 19702

Smith v. SIMM ASSOCIATES RE:

Case No. 07090478W/17C-2007-01247

Dear Respondent:

Enclosed please find a **NOTICE OF CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION**, along with the following documents:

- 1. Verified Charge of Discrimination filed against the above-named Respondent;
- 2. Mediation interest form;
- Copy of 19 Del. Code § 712 (c), describing the administrative process. 3.

Pursuant to 19 Del. Code § 712 (c), the named Respondent has an opportunity at this time to "file an answer within twenty (20) days of the receipt of the Charge of Discrimination, certifying that a copy of the answer was mailed to the Charging Party at the address provided." If you are interested in mediation, you do not need to file an answer at this time. If you elect this option you must check the appropriate provision on the enclosed Invitation To Engage in Mediation and return it to us in lieu of your answer.

This Charge of Discrimination has been filed under the following law(s), and as indicated by the case numbers referenced above.

ADEA

Title VII

DE Discrimination in Employment Act

DE Handicapped Persons Employment Protection Act

Filed 08/12/2008 Page 15 of 19

FAX. 1.75 -1.25

We anticipate your full cooperation. If you intend to retain legal representation at any time throughout this process, please have your attorney enter his or her appearance so that future contact will be made through him or her.

> Julie Curtler Julie Klein Cutler, Administrator, Discrimination Program

cc: Charging Party (w/o enclosures)

EEOC Form 5 (5/01)			
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act	X	FEPA	070904782
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC	17C-2007-01247
Delaware Departm	 nent of Labo		and EEOC
State or local Ager			
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Are	· · ·
Mr. Demetrice Smith		(302) 994-36	03-21-1965
Street Address City, State an	nd ZIP Code		
111 Danford Dr., Elkton, MD 21921			
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS I		ate or Local Governmer	nt Agency That I Believe
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
SIMM ASSOCIATES		201 - 500	(302) 283-2800
Street Address City, State an	nd ZIP Code		
800 Pencader Drive, Newark, DE 19702			
			
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
	1770 0 1		
Street Address City, State an	nd ZIP Code		
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(S) DISC	CRIMINATION TOOK PLACE
	1	Earlie	
X RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIGI	N 08-09-2	2007 08-09-2007
RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTH	HER (Specify below.		
		X	CONTINUING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):		C - C1: - + 0/0/07	
Jurisdiction: Charging Party works for Respondent as a Collector in DE. He v Charging Party's protected class: Race (Black); Sex (male)	was transferred of	of his team on 8/9/07	
Adverse employment action: Re-Assignment; Terms and Conditions			
Brief statement of allegations: Charging Party alleges that Respondent subject			
on his race and sex. Charging Party claims that despite his good production rat sexual harassment against his co-worker (Jamie Phillips, b/f) and initiating a co-	onfrontation again	st his other co-worker	(Missy Wright, w, f). Although
both allegations were addressed and found to be unsubstantiated, Respondent cl	hose to re-assign	Charging Party to anot	her department and retain Ms.
Wright without proper discipline for her racial remarks and threats that Ms. Wr "Fing head off' against Charging Party blatantly in front of staff. Charging I	right's father was	part of a pagan racist g	roup that would blow his
move. Consequently, Respondent told Charging Party that Missy was more val			
Respondent's explanation: None given	iaaniminatian in E	muniormout Ast as om	and ad
Applicable law(s): Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, as amended; Delaware Di Comparator(s) or other specific reason(s) for alleging discrimination: Char	rging Party was tr	ansferred to another, le	ess lucrative position after being
involved in a dispute with a white female coworker. Charging Party and white	female coworker	had similar production	value, and the dispute involved
greater culpability on the part of the white female co-worker. However, Charging Party claims that Respondent has scrutinize	ng Party claims h	e was disciplined more	harshly than his similarly
internal complaint regarding Ms. Wright.	AND MOUNTAIN N	argeted mini tor disental	go outou on me ruce, sex una
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate	NOTARY – When	necessary for State and Lo	ocal Agency Requirements
fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.		that I have read the ab nowledge, information a	ove charge and that it is true to
Todalis dilder perialty of perjury that the abovers title and correct.	SIGNATURE OF C	-	ing polici.
1./4//~	SUBSCRIBED AN	D SWORN TO BEFORE M	ME THIS DATE
Sep 05, 2007 Ment Em	(month, day, year)		
Date Charging Party Signature			

Page 17 of 19

EEOC Form 5 (5/01)

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION

This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.

Charge Presented To:

Agency(ies) Charge No(s):

X FEPA
X EEOC

07090478 W 17C-2007-01247

and EEOC

Delaware Department of Labor

State or local Agency, if any

THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):

I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Sep 05, 2007

Date

Charging Party Signature

NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements

I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year) THC: 48 3.34478

MOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF DELAWA

My Commission Expires 1/26/09

Case 1:08-cv-00507-GMS [

Document 2

Filed 08/12/2008

Page 18 of 19



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Philadelphia District Office

801 Market St.
Penthouse Ste. 1300
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 440-2600
TTY (215) 440-2610
FAX (215) 440-2632, 2848 & 2604

EEOC Charge No.:	17C-2007-01247	Datas	September 10, 2007
B.B.C.IC. C. narge No.:	1/(-200/-0124/	Date:	September 10. 2007
	1,0 200, 0121,	Dutte	September 10, 200,

Your charge of employment discrimination as filed with the Labor Law Enforcement Section of the Delaware Dept. of Labor (DDOL) will also be forwarded to the Philadelphia District Office of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) for dual filing. This dual-filing is done in order to preserve your federal rights as explained below. The EEOC charge number will be assigned by the DDOL in addition to DDOL's own charge number. This letter, which will be sent to you by the DDOL, constitutes your notification of the dual-filing with EEOC. The Respondent named in your charge will also be notified by DDOL of the dual-filing with EEOC.

The EEOC will refrain from any processing of your charge until such time as the DDOL completes its processing and issues final findings and orders. At that time, the DDOL will notify the EEOC of the closure so that EEOC can review the DDOL finding. Those final findings and orders may be adopted by EEOC and the EEOC case would then be closed based on the DDOL proceedings.

However, under Section 1601.76 of EEOC's regulations, you are entitled to request that EEOC perform a Substantial Weight Review of the DDOL's final finding. To obtain this review, you must request it by writing to EEOC within 12 days of your receipt of DDOL's final finding in your case. Otherwise, EEOC will generally adopt the DDOL's findings.

To request the Substantial Weight Review, you should address your request to the address shown in the letterhead above, to the attention of the State and Local Unit. In addition, you should provide as much specific detail as possible as to why you are dissatisfied with the DDOL investigation or finding.

While your charge is being investigated by the DDOL, you should address any concerns or additional information concerning your charge or the DDOL investigation directly to the DDOL. This will ensure that such concerns or information are provided to the appropriate person(s). Please do not contact EEOC directly as EEOC will not be able to assist you while the charge is being processed by ODOL. Also, please do not submit documents or evidence to EEOC since ODOL will be actively investigating the charge and will maintain the active case file.

The dual-filing of the charge with EEOC will preserve your rights to file a private lawsuit in federal district court as follows:

Case 1:08-cv-00507-GMS

a. If your charge is filed under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII) (that is, based on race, sex, color, religion or national origin) or under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (ADA) (that is, based upon mental or physical disability), you can only file a lawsuit in Federal Court if EEOC first issues you a Notice of Right to Sue. To obtain such a Notice, you must wait for 240 days from the date you filed your charge with DDOL. You must thereafter make a written request for issuance of the Notice of Right to Sue. This request can be sent directly to EEOC at the address shown in the letterhead above or you can send the request to the DDOL for forwarding to EEOC. Upon its receipt, EEOC will issue you the Notice of Right to Sue and you would have 90 days in which to file suit. The issuance of the Notice of Right to Sue will normally result in EEOC terminating all further processing.

b. If your charge is filed under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 as amended (ADEA), (that is, based on age 40 or older), you do not have to request a Notice of Right to Sue from EEOC if you wish to file a lawsuit in Federal Court. Instead, you must wait at least 60 days from the date you filed your charge with the DDOL regardless of the status of the DDOL or EEOC processing. Thereafter, you can file a private lawsuit directly in Federal Court without first contacting EEOC in any manner.

If based upon EEOC's review of DDOL's final finding, the EEOC determines that the finding should be accepted as the basis for EEOC's closure, you will be so notified in writing. If the DDOL closure is for any reason other than a successful settlement or a withdrawal, the EEOC closure will also include a Notice of Right to Sue. This applies to charges filed under Title VII, the ADA and/or the ADEA. In such an event, you would then have 90 days from the date of your receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue to file suit in federal district court.

EEOC's regulations require that you notify EEOC of any change in address and keep us informed of any prolonged absence from your current address. However, if the DDOL is still processing your charge, you should forward any such notification or change of address directly to the DDOL. You should also cooperate fully with the DDOL in its processing of your charge.

Should you contact EEOC to request a Substantial Weight Review or to request a Notice of Right to Sue, please include the EEOC Charge Number shown at the top of page 1 in your correspondence to EEOC.

Sincerely,

in M. Womases

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

507

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEM	ETRICE So	oitH	DEFENDANTS	Ms. Associ	Ates
	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF	New Castle		of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	New Castle
III PANFORD DR.				ND CONDEMNATION CASES, U	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	m D 2 192 e, Address, and Telephone Nun	aber)	Attorneys (If Known)) INVOLVED.	28
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X	" in One Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	t Not a Party)		PTF DEF I Incorporated or P of Business In Th	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUI					
CONTRACT		PEDSONAL INITIDY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Anitirust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
1 Original 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from 4		sferred from 6 Multidistrier Litigation	
		atute under which you are fi		al statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of c	ause:			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.F	S IS A CLASS ACTION 2. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE () /	. Na	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	12008		mill to the	1	
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE